

# INTEGRATING ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY IN INCLUSIVE CLASSROOMS: AN EVALUATIVE STUDY OF DIGITAL SUPPORT TOOLS IN SECONDARY EDUCATION

Erik Johansson

Department of Education and Special Education

Uppsala University, Sweden

## **Abstract**

Assistive technology has become an increasingly important component of inclusive education, offering new possibilities for supporting diverse learners. However, the effectiveness of such technologies depends not only on their availability but also on how they are integrated into everyday teaching practices. This study examines the implementation of digital assistive tools in a secondary school classroom, focusing on their impact on student engagement and participation. Using classroom observations and teacher reflections, the research analyzes how tools such as text-to-speech software, digital note-taking platforms, and interactive learning applications are used to support learners with varied needs. The findings indicate that assistive technology can enhance accessibility and participation when it is meaningfully embedded in instruction, but its impact is limited when used in isolation. The study contributes to inclusive education research by emphasizing the importance of pedagogical integration alongside technological adoption.

**Keywords:** Assistive technology, inclusive education, digital tools, accessibility, secondary education.

## **Introduction**

The increasing presence of digital technology in education has created new opportunities for supporting inclusive learning environments. Assistive technologies, in particular, are often presented as tools that can reduce barriers to learning and enable greater participation for students with diverse needs. These tools include software applications that support reading, writing, organization, and communication, and they are frequently recommended in both policy and practice.

However, the mere presence of technology does not guarantee inclusion. Classrooms may have access to advanced tools, yet their use may remain limited or disconnected from instructional goals. This raises an important question: how do assistive technologies function within the realities of classroom practice?

This paper explores this question by examining the integration of assistive technology in a secondary school setting, with particular attention to how it shapes student participation and engagement.

## **2. Conceptual Background**

Assistive technology in education is commonly understood as any tool that enhances the functional capabilities of learners, particularly those who experience barriers in traditional instructional environments. While this definition highlights the potential of technology, it often underestimates the role of pedagogy in determining its effectiveness.

Research in inclusive education suggests that technology is most effective when it is embedded within teaching practices rather than treated as an external support. This means that teachers must not only be familiar with the tools themselves but also understand how to integrate them into lesson design, classroom interaction, and assessment processes.

The present study adopts this perspective, viewing assistive technology as part of a broader pedagogical system rather than as an independent solution.

## **3. Methodology**

The study was conducted in a secondary school classroom that included students with diverse learning needs, including reading difficulties, attention-related challenges, and varying levels of language proficiency. The research focused on

a series of lessons in which assistive technologies were actively used as part of instruction.

Data was collected through classroom observations, analysis of student work, and reflective discussions with the teacher. The observations examined how technology was introduced, how students interacted with it, and how it influenced classroom dynamics. The teacher reflections provided insight into the intentions behind the use of specific tools and the perceived challenges of implementation. The data was analyzed qualitatively, with attention to patterns in student engagement, accessibility, and instructional adaptation.

#### **4. Results**

The findings indicate that assistive technology can play a significant role in supporting inclusive participation, but its effectiveness varies depending on how it is used within the classroom.

One of the most noticeable outcomes was the increased engagement of students who previously struggled with traditional tasks. For example, the use of text-to-speech software enabled students with reading difficulties to access written materials more independently. This not only improved comprehension but also reduced reliance on teacher assistance, allowing students to participate more confidently in classroom activities.

Digital note-taking tools also contributed to improved organization and focus, particularly for students who found it difficult to manage written tasks. These tools allowed students to structure their work more effectively and revisit content at their own pace.

However, the results also reveal limitations. In instances where technology was introduced without clear instructional integration, its impact was minimal. Some students used digital tools passively, without engaging more deeply with the learning material. In such cases, technology functioned as an add-on rather than as a meaningful component of learning.

Another important finding relates to variation in student use. While some learners adapted quickly to digital tools, others required additional guidance and support. This highlights the need for ongoing scaffolding when introducing assistive technologies.

## **5. Discussion**

The results suggest that the effectiveness of assistive technology depends less on the tools themselves and more on the context in which they are used. Technology can enhance inclusion by providing alternative pathways to learning, but only when it is aligned with pedagogical goals and classroom practices.

One key insight from the study is that assistive technology should not be treated as a separate intervention. When tools are integrated into lesson design, they become part of the learning process, benefiting not only students with identified needs but the entire class. This aligns with the broader principles of inclusive education, which emphasize flexibility and accessibility for all learners.

At the same time, the findings highlight the importance of teacher mediation. Technology does not automatically lead to improved outcomes; it requires intentional use, guidance, and adaptation. Teachers play a crucial role in shaping how tools are used, how students engage with them, and how they contribute to learning.

The study also underscores the importance of professional development. Effective integration of assistive technology requires not only technical knowledge but also pedagogical understanding. Teachers must be supported in developing both.

## **6. Implications for Practice**

The findings suggest that schools should move beyond simply providing access to technology and focus on supporting its meaningful use. This includes creating opportunities for teachers to experiment with tools, reflect on their practice, and share experiences with colleagues.

In addition, assistive technology should be considered as part of a broader inclusive strategy rather than as a targeted solution for specific students. When integrated effectively, these tools can contribute to a more flexible and responsive learning environment.

## **7. Conclusion**

Assistive technology has significant potential to support inclusive education, but its impact depends on how it is used in practice. This study has shown that meaningful integration, rather than mere availability, is key to enhancing participation and engagement.

By focusing on the interaction between technology and pedagogy, educators can move toward more inclusive and effective teaching practices. Future research should explore how different contexts and subjects influence the use of assistive tools in education.

## **References**

1. Edyburn, D. L. (2013). Critical issues in advancing the special education technology evidence base. *Exceptional Children*, 80(1), 7–24. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001440291308000101>
2. Al-Azawei, A., Serenelli, F., & Lundqvist, K. (2016). Universal design for learning (UDL). *Computers in Human Behavior*, 62, 22–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.03.041>
3. Dell, A. G., Newton, D. A., & Petroff, J. G. (2012). Assistive technology in the classroom. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 27(4), 1–14
4. Ahmad, F. K. (2015). Use of assistive technology in inclusive education: making room for diverse learning needs. *Transcience*, 6(2), 62-77.
5. Fernández-Batanero, J. M., Montenegro-Rueda, M., Fernández-Cerero, J., & García-Martínez, I. (2022). Assistive technology for the inclusion of students with disabilities: A systematic review. *Educational technology research and development*, 70(5), 1911-1930.
6. de Oliveira Soares Campos Araújo, T. C., de Queiroz Marcelino, J. F., & Martins, L. B. (2023). Inclusive Design and the Use of Assistive Technology in the Classroom: A Systematic Review. *Occupational and Environmental Safety and Health V*, 733-744.
7. Chambers, D. (2020). Assistive technology supporting inclusive education: Existing and emerging trends.